

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,457	07/14/2003	Rajan A. Jaisinghani	P56907	3861
7590 02/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bushnell			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
Suite 300 1522 K Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			1724	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,457	JAISINGHANI, RAJAN A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 De</u>	ecember 2003		
	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24,36-87 and 94-141 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24,36-87 and 94-141 are subject to	vn from consideration.	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order orde	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The preliminary amendment filed on December 4, 2003 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on December 4, 2003. These drawings are accepted by the

examiner.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 7, 2003 is defective because the

second page was apparently omitted. Accordingly, only the prior art references listed on the first

page have been considered and made of recored. Applicant should now submit the second page

of the statement to ensure that the prior art references listed on that page are properly considered

and made of record.

Election/Restrictions

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed filter: (A) Figures 1a-c; (B) Figure 6; (C) Figure 8; (D) Figure 9; (E) Figure 10;

(F) Figure 12: (G) Figure 13; (H) Figure 14; (I) Figure 20; (J) Figure 21. This application also

contains the following patentably distinct species of the claimed electrodes: (a) Figure 11A; (b)

Figure 11B; (c) Figure 11C; (d) Figure 11D.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect both a single disclosed filter species

from A-J and a single disclosed electrode species from a-d for prosecution on the merits to which

the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no

claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5. Action on the merits of the claims is held in abeyance pending applicant's response. Application/Control Number: 10/618,457

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa February 8, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Richard L. Chiesa

Feb. 8, 2005